TORM MET 202 - THAT CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT					
State: _	Montana		Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_		
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	_X_ (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate?	yes X no	
		PART 1 –Eligibility Chan (Complete this section	$\mathcal{C}$		
1. Nam	e of eligibility chang	ge: Work-Eligible Individual	s Required to have FIA/EP		
2. Impl	ementation date of el	ligibility change: October 1,	2006		
3. Desc	cription of policy, inc	cluding the change from prior	policy:		
individu individu employa who wer not cons With the are requi	Prior to the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA), the only individuals who were required to participate in allowable work activities were individuals receiving TANF or individuals who were sanctioned from the receipt of TANF. Failure of these individuals to negotiate an employability plan, outlining the allowable work activities, resulted in case closure and/or denial. Individuals who were non-participating for other reasons, e.g., disqualification due to an intentional program violation, were not considered to be "receiving TANF" and therefore were not required to participate in allowable work activities. With the changes in the TANF Reauthorization Regulations contained in the DRS, all "work-eligible" individuals are required to participate in allowable work activities as defined in the DRA. Work-eligible individuals are defined as anyone receiving TANF benefits and/or a disqualified parent of a minor child receiving TANF benefits.				
Based on these regulations, changes were made in the eligibility system to identify disqualified parents of a minor child receiving TANF benefits as a work-eligible individual and require them to negotiate an employability plan outlining the allowable work activities they would agree to participate in. Failure of these work-eligible individuals to negotiate an employability plan would result in case closure and/or denial.					

<b>State:</b>	Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_				
	n of the methodology used to calcuporting materials to this form):	late the estimated impact of this eligibility change				
individual agre reason code fo Agreement/En	ailure of a work-eligible individual to negotiate an employability plan outlining the allowable work activities the individual agreed to participate in resulted in case closure and/or denial. Montana's eligibility system requires a eason code for every case closure or denial. The reason code of 'FIA' (Family Investment agreement/Employability Plan) is used when individual fail to negotiate an employability plan outlining the llowable work activities when they are identified as a work-eligible individual.					
TANF cases the number of TA	Data reported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34.1 TANF Closed Cases report contains the number of FANF cases that were closed in a given month by specific denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly number of TANF cases that were closed in a given month using the 'FIA' code. This report was used to calculate the impact of this eligibility change on the caseload. (See attached copies of the report for October 2006—September 2007.)					
In the absence of this policy change, cases closing for this reason may have remained in the caseload for the remainder of FFY 2007. We have applied a decay factor (recidivism rate) to the number of cases closed for reason throughout FFY 2007. This recidivism rate is estimated to be 45.33% based on reporting by the Wolcontractors.						
5. Estimated	average monthly impact of this elig	gibility change on caseload in comparison year:49				
Please refer to	the attached impact table.					

St	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_
1.	Name of eligibility change: Blackfeet Tribal TANF	Plan changes
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January	, 2007
3.		or policy: The Blackfeet Tribal TANF Plan changed to nrolled Blackfeet Tribal members to receive Tribal TANF previously received Blackfeet Tribal TANF, were
	This change would have resulted in an increase in the	e State TANF caseload, rather than a caseload reduction.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form) NA		e estimated impact of this eligibility change:
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: NA

Sta	te:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_			
1.	Name of eligibility change: Incarcerated individuals	are no longer eligible for TANF benefits.			
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: January 17	7, 2007			
part	Description of policy, including the change from prioricipate in allowable work activities were allowed to a recerated to their participation hours.	policy: Previously, individuals who were required to oply unsupervised work activities performed while			
activ	Due to the restrictions in the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (DRA) as to what constitutes an allowable work activity and the requirement for daily supervision, individuals who are incarcerated and unable to complete the required number of hours in allowable work activities are no longer eligible for TANF. These individuals would be closed using the 'FIA' (Family Investment Agreement/Employability Plan) closure code.				
	Description of the methodology used to calculate the	estimated impact of this eligibility change:			
·	ach supporting materials to this form)				
wor case whe	k activities would have been closed off TANF. Mont closure or denial. The reason code of 'FIA' (Family	the required number of participation hours in allowable ana's eligibility system requires a reason code for every Investment Agreement/Employability Plan) is used er of participation hours in allowable work activities due			
TAN num the i		c denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly using the 'FIA' code. This report was used to calculate			
rem reas		reason may have remained in the caseload for the (recidivism rate) to the number of cases closed for this mated to be 45.33% based on reporting by the WoRC			
	ecause of the use of the same closure/denial code, 'Flimpact of the "Work-eligible" changes outlined on pa	A', the impact of this change cannot be separated from ge 1. These changes will be "bundled" in this report.			
3.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	hange on caseload in comparison year: See above.			

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_	
1.	Name of eligibility change: Pro-rating of TANF ber negotiation of Family Investment Agreement/Emplo	* *	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 20	007	
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Changes were made to pro-rate the initial month of TANF benefits based on the date of application, provided the individuals were receiving child-only TANF; referred to Tribal NEW for case management services; or referred to the WoRC program for case management services and negotiated their FIA/EP within three working days of referral or had good cause for failing to negotiate within three working days.		
	the date they negotiated their FIA/EP and agreed to I	ld have their initial month of TANF benefits pro-rated to participate in allowable work activities. This would have ly case closure of denial. This policy change would not	
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	e estimated impact of this eligibility change:	
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility	change on caseload in comparison year: NA	

St	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_			
1.	Name of eligibility change: Application process	ing timeframes changed to 30 days from 45 days			
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July	, 2007			
3.		prior policy: Previously, eligibility workers in the Office of lete the eligibility determination on a TANF application.			
tin en:	hanges were made to decrease the TANF application processing timeframe to 30 days from the previous 45 day me period. This change was made to bring policy in alignment with current Food Stamp program policy and also assure that individuals who are applying for TANF benefits are determined eligible sooner, allowing for more rompt involvement in allowable work activities.				
Th	is change would not have resulted in a caseload in	crease or decrease.			
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	the estimated impact of this eligibility change:			
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibi	lity change on caseload in comparison year: NA			

St	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_		
1.	Name of eligibility change: TANF Payment Standard	d increased		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 20	07		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy: Previously the TANF Payment Standard was set at 33% of the 2005 Federal Poverty Level. Effective July 1, 2007, the TANF Payment Standard was ncreased to 33% of the 2007 Federal Poverty Level from 33% of the 2005 Federal Poverty Level. This change resulted in an increase in TANF benefits to participants.			
	This change would have resulted in higher TANF ber reductions.	nefits to the client, it would not result in caseload		
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	estimated impact of this eligibility change:		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	change on caseload in comparison year: NA		

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_		
1.	Name of eligibility change: Increased recovery perce	ntage on continued benefits		
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: July 1, 200	07		
3.	fair hearing regarding an adverse action, they can requ fair hearing is found in the state's favor, the individua	policy: Currently, when an individual is involved in a nest and be found eligible for continued benefits. If the l is required to repay those continued benefits. If the the TANF benefits are reduced at a rate of 10% of the		
	Policy changed to allow continued benefits that were allowable work activities to be repaid at a rate of 25% in case closure, simply a reduction in the amount of T change did not impact the caseload reduction.	or \$25, whichever is higher. This change will not result		
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the (attach supporting materials to this form) NA	estimated impact of this eligibility change:		
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility c	hange on caseload in comparison year: NA		

State:	Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: _	_2008_	
1. Nar	me of eligibility change: Case Transfer Changes			
2. Imp	plementation date of eligibility change: August 1, 2	2007		
ano	scription of policy, including the change from prior ther county within the State, the household was allowe to another county.	± •		
Act wer	th the stricter requirements as to what constitutes are (DRA), policy was changed to indicate that individue required to contact the 'receiving' county and necessor would result in case closure.	duals whose case was transferred to another	county	
	scription of the methodology used to calculate the each supporting materials to this form)	stimated impact of this eligibility change:		
results i denial.	ailure of a TANF household to negotiate an employability plan with the 'receiving' county in a timely fashion esults in case closure and/or denial. Montana's eligibility system requires a reason code for every case closure or enial. The reason code of 'FIA' (Family Investment Agreement/Employability Plan) is used when individual fail o negotiate an employability plan with the 'receiving' county in a timely fashion following case transfer.			
TANF on the imp	ported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34. cases that were closed in a given month by specific of TANF cases that were closed in a given month eact of this eligibility change on the caseload. (See ber 2007.)	denial/closure reasons. The report contains using the 'FIA' code. This report was used to	a monthly to calculate	
remain	bsence of this policy change, cases closing for this der of FFY 2007. We have applied a decay factor (throughout FFY 2007. This recidivism rate is estimators.	recidivism rate) to the number of cases close	ed for this	
the imp	suse of the use of the same closure/denial code, 'FL pact of the "Work-eligible" changes outlined on pages will be "bundled" in this report.			
<u> </u>		1 11 2		
o. Esti	mated average monthly impact of this eligibility cl	nange on caseload in comparison year: See a	bove	

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_		
1.	Name of eligibility change: Conciliation Process			
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change: October 1,	2006		
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior required to participate in allowable work activities was Case Manager entered into a formal 90-day conciliation individual an opportunity to come back into compliance was not fully in compliance during this 990-day time process.	out of compliance with those activities, the WoRC in process with the individual. This process allowed the see and avoid sanction. However, often the individual		
	With the changes to the allowable work activities as we the DRA, this policy was changed to stop the formal 9 informal conciliation process consisting of one phone compliance. Failure to contact the individual and/or has anction recommendation.	call and/or note to inquire as to the reasons for non-		
	This change to the conciliation process may have resulting in a higher number of sanctions, which may n	ted in sanctions being imposed sooner on an individual, esult in case closure.		
	Description of the methodology used to calculate the ettach supporting materials to this form)	stimated impact of this eligibility change:		
rea	apposition of a second or subsequent sanction results in cases as a code for every case closure or denial. The reason can case is closing due to sanction.			
TA nur cal	ata reported by the eligibility system on the TS 103B34. ANF cases that were closed in a given month by specific umber of TANF cases that were closed in a given month lculate the impact of this eligibility change on the caselo 006—September 2007.)	denial/closure reasons. The report contains a monthly using the 'SAN' code. This report was used to		
ren rea	the absence of this policy change, cases closing for this mainder of FFY 2007. We have applied a decay factor (ason throughout FFY 2007. This recidivism rate is estimate the state of the contractors.	recidivism rate) to the number of cases closed for this		
the	Because of the use of the same closure/denial code, 'SA e impact of the "Participation Hours" changes outlined oport.			
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility ch	nange on caseload in comparison year: -429		
Ple	lease see attached copy of impact table.			

Sta	ate:Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_
1.	Name of eligibility change:	
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:	
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior	r policy:
1	Description of the methodology used to calculate the	actimated impact of this aligibility abango:
4.	(attach supporting materials to this form)	estimated impact of this englothity change.
5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility of	change on caseload in comparison year:
<u> </u>		

State:	Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	2008
		iscui i cui co vinichi ci cuit applicat.	

#### PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

See attached Part 2 worksheet and Impact Templates.

State:	Montana	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:2008_
	PART 3 Ce	rtification
	I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate and methodology used to complete this report and Further, I certify that this report incorporates all recelligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements.	considered those comments in completing it. ductions in the caseload resulting from State
	(signatu	are)
	(name	e)
	(title	)